# **Hindering Influence Diffusion of Community**



Jiadong Xie East China Normal University xiejiadong0623@gmail.com Advisor: Fan Zhang (Guangzhou University), Xuemin Lin (Shanghai Jiaotong University)



## Motivation

The prevalence of social network platforms and the global COVID-19 epidemic leads to more research on influence diffusion models.

Inspired by the work *Phase transitions in information spreading on structured*[1]:

- there is a transition that separates the local and global rumour spread;
- the transition point is highly related to the interactions between communities.

In social network era, the studies of diffusion models do not well consider the effect of community structure.

[1] Jessica T. Davis, N. Perra, Q. Zhang, Y. Moreno, and A. Vespignani. 2020. Phase transitions in information spreading on structured populations. Nature Physics. 590-596.

## **Case Study**

**Dataset**: DBLP network **Community**: SIGMOD **Diffusion Model**: Maki–Thompson model  $(\lambda = 0.1, \alpha = 1.0).$ 

## **LCIF Problem**

**Input**: a directed graph G = (V, E), a community  $C \subseteq V$ , and a budget b(b < |C|);

**Output**: A set *B* of at most *b* nodes such that remove it will get minimal interaction frequency.

**Interaction frequency** (IF):  $\omega(C) = \frac{\sum_{u \in C} d_u^{\notin C}}{\sum_{u \in C} d_u^+}$ .

- ✤ C: The community;
- ★  $d_u^{\notin C}$ : the cross-community out-degree of u, i.e.,  $d_u^{\notin C} = |\{\langle u, v \rangle \in E | u \in CAv \notin C\}|;$
- ★  $d_u^+$ : The out-degree of a node u, i.e.,  $d_u^+ = |\{\langle u, v \rangle \in E\}|$ .

#### Example

A rumour is diffusing in the community *C*, and it may affect ignorant people (green) via directed edges. We propose to remove *B* to hinder the rumour propagation.



## **Related Work**

**Rumour Diffusion Model**: Different influence diffusion models to simulate the rumour propagation.

- three compartments: ignorants, spreaders, stiflers;
- λ: the probability that an ignorant become a spreader when it is contacted by a spreader;
- \* α: the probability that a spreader becomes stifler when he contacts a stifler or ignorant.  $^{1}$

#### **Observations**

- influence diffusion is decreasing with the decrease of IF
- we can completely prevent rumour spread when  $IF \approx 0.001$ .

### Approach

We use a binary search to find a optimal solution to LCIF problem, and the search lasts for T rounds.

Binary search  $\omega$ , each time test if  $\omega'$  is a feasible IF, i.e., exists a B' such that  $\omega(C \setminus B') \leq \omega'$  and  $|B'| \leq b$ .

$$\frac{\sum_{u \in C \setminus B'} d_u^{\notin C}}{\sum_{u \in C \setminus B'} d_u^+} \le \omega' \Leftrightarrow \sum_{u \in C \setminus B'} (d_u^{\notin C} - \omega' d_u^+) \le 0$$

Test through sort all nodes in C in decreasing  $(d_u^{\notin C} - \omega' d_u^+)$ , and then try to add them into B' until  $\sum_{u \in C \setminus B'} (d_u^{\notin C} - \omega' d_u^+) \leq 0$ . **Time complexity**:  $O(T \cdot |V(C)| \log |V(C)| + \sum_{u \in V(C)} d_u^+)$ . **Error**:  $|\omega(C \setminus B) - \omega(B \setminus B^*)| \leq 2^{-T}$  $\Leftrightarrow B^*$ : the optimal solution of LCIF problem;

 $\clubsuit$  *B*: our solution.

**Influence Minimization Problem**: The problem aims to minimize the expected probability of influence diffusion though remoing nodes or edges.

**Influence Maximization Problem**: The problem searches for a seed set of fixed size that can maximize the expected probability of influence diffusion.

#### Experiment

**Dataset**: DBLP network from SNAP. 317,080 nodes, 1,049,866 edges, and 8,734 groud-truth community. We use SIGMOD community and set T = 500.

- ✤ Initial IF of SIGMOD:
   ✤ 0.596.
- When budget b = 2177, 42.1% nodes in SIGMOD,
  IF drop to 0.
- Average running time:
  2.95ms.



### **Future Work**

Combine the methods from the algorithms of the influence minimization and maximization problem, and try to hinder the rumour influence diffusion under transition by fewer nodes.

